CONTRACT #9 RFS # 331.04-004-04

Department of Education Assessment Division

VENDOR:
Public Consulting Group



STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

PHIL BREDESEN GOVERNOR

6th FLOOR, ANDREW JOHNSON TOWER 710 JAMES ROBERTSON PARKWAY NASHVILLE, TN 37243-0375

LANA C. SEIVERS, Ed.D. COMMISSIONER

RECEIVED

MAR 2 6 2007

TO:

Leni Chick, Fiscal Review Committee Le C. SevreuFISCAL REVIEW

FROM:

Lana C. Seivers, Commissioner

DATE:

March 26, 2007

RE:

Request to appear before Fiscal Review Committee regarding Non-Competitive Amendment

to contract FA-04-15804-00

Please consider the enclosed information regarding a request for Non-Competitive Amendment to contract FA-04-15804-00 with Public Consulting Group.

The proposed amendment will allow the following:

The addition of a payment point to the Payment Methodology section E.3 to allow for the vendor to be paid for end-user support provided to the Local Education Agencies (LEA) currently participating in the Statewide Student Management System (SSMS)

The increase in the maximum liability of the contract to allow Memphis City Schools and Knox County School districts to participate in the Easy IEP portion of the SSMS.

Thank you for your consideration.

CC:

Dr. Timothy K. Webb, Assistant Commissioner

REQUEST: NON-COMPETITIVE AMENDMENT

APPROVED	
	•
	•
Commissioner of Finance & Administra	ation
Date:	

			OLUBED				
	EACH REQUEST ITEM BELOW MUST BE DETAILED OR ADDRESSED AS REQUIRED.						
1)	RFS#	331.04-004-04					
2)	State Agency Name :	e: Department of Education					
		EXISTING CONTRACT INFORMATON					
3)) Service Caption : Statewide Student Management System						
4)) Contractor: Public Consulting Group						
5)	5) Contract # FA-04-15804-00						
6)	Contract Start Date :	01/01/2004					
7)	Current Contract End	06/30/2008					
8)	Current Total Maximul	\$16,365,220.00					
	PROPOSED AMENDMENT INFORMATON						
9) Proposed Amendment # 03							
10)	Proposed Amendment (attached explanation re	07/01/05					
11)	Proposed Contract En	06/30/2008					
12)	Proposed Total Maxim	\$18,365,220.00					
13)	3) Approval Criteria : use of Non-Competitive Negotiation is in the best interest of the state (select one)						
	only one uniquely qualified service provider able to provide the service						
14)	14) Description of the Proposed Amendment Effects & Any Additional Service :						

	·					
The proposed amendment will include the addition of a payment point in section E.3. of the contract that will allow the Department to compensate the vendor for the end user support that they have been providing since Phase II of implementation of the Statewide Student Management System. The different levels of user support were defined through Amendment 2 to this contract; however, at the time the definitions were added, the payment methodology and rate were inadvertently left off the Amendment.						
months. The addition of approximately \$1,000.00	Memphis City and the Knox County school systems have joined onto the Easy IEP only portion of this system within the past six months. The addition of these two large districts has made it necessary to increase the maximum liability of the contract by approximately \$1,000,000.00 to cover the expense of the additional support and ADM implementation costs. The remaining \$1,000,000.00 increase will be used to pay the cost for the end-user support that the vendor has been providing to the remaining					
The total maximum liabi	lity of this contract after this amendment will be \$18	3,365,220.00.				
15) Explanation of Ne	ed for the Proposed Amendment :					
The original contract was written to include the vendor's provision of a very high level, technical support and training support to the end user community. The original intent was that the State would hire personnel that could provide the end- user support to the districts. To date, the Department has been unable to hire and train employees who can provide the level of support that is required to the end users. It has become apparent to the Department, at this stage of implementation of the data system, that it is necessary to continue to provide end-user support on both Star Student and Easy IEP through the end of the current implementation phase. The contractor has consistently provided the end-user support as needed up to this point and the Department wishes to compensate the vendor for this service.						
In addition to the provision of this level support, we have recently added on the Special Education populations of both Memphis City Schools and Knox County schools. The addition of these two districts has accounted for an increase in the ADM for the Easy IEP only districts by approximately 170,000 students for a total Phase III cost increase of \$880,000.00 that was not calculated into the original ADM projections in the contract.						
16) Name & Address of (not required if prop	of Contractor's Current Principal Owner(s) : cosed contractor is a state education institution)					
Public Consulting Group 148 State Street Tenth Floor Boston MA 02109						
17) Documentation of (required only if the	Office for Information Resources Endorsement subject service involves information technology)	:				
select one:	Documentation Not Applicable to this Reques	Documentation Attached to this Request				
	Department of Personnel Endorsement : subject service involves training for state employe	es)				
select one:	Documentation Not Applicable to this Reque	Documentation Attached to this Request				
	State Architect Endorsement : subject service involves construction or real prope	rty related services)				
select one:	Documentation Not Applicable to this Reque	Documentation Attached to this Request				
20) Description of Procuring Agency Efforts to Identify Reasonable, Competitive, Procurement Alternatives :						
The Department attempted, unsuccessfully, to hire personnel to fill the void in providing support to the end users. The Department has not sought other means to procure this service from an outside vendor.						
The increase in ADM is an increase in the quantity of services already provided in this contract and can not be competed.						
	 : -					

21) Justification for the Proposed Non-Competitive Amendment:

In the original RFP and ensuing contract, the Department was to hire and train personnel who would be responsible for providing support to the end users across the state. An end user for Star Student is defined as personnel within the central office of a school or the central office of an LEA that is responsible for the entering and maintaining of individual student records (including but not limited to attendance, schedule, grades/GPA, suspensions, expulsions). An end user for EasyIEP is defined as personnel at the school and LEA level who are responsible for the entering, updating, and maintenance of individual student's special education records (including but not limited to evaluation data, Individualized Education Plans).

During the pilot phase of the implementation of the Statewide Student Management System (SSMS) the Department had not yet filled personnel vacancies that would allow for the detailed training and core knowledge required to provide significant end-user support to the LEA's personnel. The vendor had been providing the end user support for the pilot systems at no cost to the state. During year two of implementation it was determined that the level of complexity of the application was such that the Department would not be able to staff and train adequate support personnel in a timely manner to not disrupt service to the individual LEAs.

Memphis City and Knox County Schools were never included in the participation estimates on the original contract. Their addition to the EasyIEP only portion of this contract constitutes a 10% increase in the total ADM count and therefore an increase in the maximum liability of this contract to cover the expense of bringing those two districts on to the system.

REQUESTING AGENCY HEAD SIGNATURE & DATE:

(must be signed & dated by the ACTUAL procuring agency head as detailed on the Signature Certification on file with OCRsignature by an authorized signatory will be accepted only in documented exigent circumstances)

. Seivers

RFS#		СО	NTR	ACT	S U	M M A R	YSHEE	<u> </u>	8-8-05
331.11-004-04					FA-04-15804-03				
State Agency						State Agency Division			
Departme		ation				Assessment			
Contractor	Name					Contractor ID	# (FEIN or SSN)		
PUBLIC C	ONSULTI	ING GROUP	INC			☐ C- or 🏻	V- V0429429	3-00	
Service De	scription								
SSMS									
Contra	act Begin	Date	Co	ntract End Date)	SUBRECIPIE	NT or VENDOR?		CFDA#
Janu	uary 01, 20	004		June 30, 2008		Ve	endor		See Supplement Form
Mark, if Sta	ıtement is	TRUE				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
******		STARS as r				L			n Accounts as required
Allotmen		Cost C		Object Co	de	Fund	Funding Grant C	ode	Funding Subgrant Code
331.		VA		083		25	VAR		VAR
FY		State	F	ederal \$0.00	Inter	\$1,427,500.00	Other e	0.00	TOTAL Contract Amount \$2,612,210.00
2004		1,184,710.00		·		\$1,510,360.00		0.00	\$4,446,550.00
2005		2,936,190.00	-	\$0.00				0.00	\$3,324,130.00
2006		1,891,130.00		\$433,000.00		\$1,000,000.00	· · · · · · · · · ·	0.00	\$6,899,330.00
2007	ф ;	5,616,330.00	1	\$283,000.00		\$1,000,000.00	<u> </u>		
2008		\$0.00		\$283,000.00	***	\$800,000.00 \$0.00		\$1,083,000.00	
			-					*40.205.220.00	
TOTAL:		1,628,360.00		\$999,000.00	1 _	\$5,737,860.00		0.00	\$18,365,220.00
— COMPLETE FOR AMENDMENTS ONLY — S Base Contract & THIS Amendment I							Contact & Telepho	one #	
FY	Prior A	mendments		ONLY	Melissa Hinton - 615-741-6214				
2004	1	52,612,210.00		\$0.00	State Agency Budget Officer Approval				
2005		64,446,550.00		\$0.00					
2006	 	3,324,130.00	-	\$0.00					
2007	\$	64,183,930.00)	\$2,715,400.00	Fundi a balar	ing Certification	i (certification, require ation from which the	ed by T obligate	C.A., § 9-4-5113, that there is ed expenditure is required to be
2008	\$	51,798,400.00)	(\$715,400.00)	paid th	at is not otherwise	encumbered to pay	obligati	ons previously incurred)
TOTAL:	\$1	6,365,220.0)	\$2,000,000.00					
End Date:	6/	30/2008	6	3/30/2008					
Contractor	Ownersh	ip			_				
African .	American	Perso	n w/ Disab	ility 🔲 Hisp	anic	Smal	II Business	∑ NO?	T minority/disadvantaged
Asian		Fema	le	Nati	ve Amer	ican 🔲 OTHI	ER minority/disadva	ntage	d—
Contractor	Selection	n Method							
RFP Competitive Negotiation Alternative Competitive Method									
Non	Non-Competitive Negotiation Government Other								
Procurement Process Summary									
, () f:	t					t			
·		. :							

	C	ONT:			MARY L SCH	SHEET EDULE	
Contract Number	FA-04-15	804-00					
Fiscal Year	2004						
Allotment Code	Cost Center	Object Code	Fund	Grant Code	Subgrant Code	CFDA #	Amount
331.11	730	083	25	TK4	AAX,	N/A	\$2,612,210.00
Fiscal Year	2005						
331.11	730	083	25	TK5	AAX	N/A	\$4,446,550.00
Fiscal Year	2006						
331.11	810	083	25	TK6	AAX	N/A	\$2,891,130.00
331.36	440	083	25	LL5	ACX	84.027A	\$433,000.00
Fiscal Year	2007						
331.11	810	083	25	TK7	AAX	N/A	\$5,616,330.00
331.36	440	083	25	LL6	ACX	84.027A	\$283,000.00
Fiscal Year	2008						
331.11	810	083	25	TK8	AAX	N/A	\$800,000.00
331.36	444	083	25	LL7	ACX	84.027A	\$283,000.00

TOTAL

\$17,365,220.00

AMENDMENT # 03 TO CONTRACT FA-04-15804-00

This Contract, by and between the State of Tennessee, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, hereinafter referred to as the State, and PUBLIC CONSULTING GROUP INC, hereinafter referred to as the Contractor, is hereby amended as follows:

- Delete Section E.1. in its entirety and replace with the following:
 - Maximum Liability. In no event shall the maximum liability of the State under this Contract E.1. exceed Eighteen Million Three Hundred Sixty Five Thousand Two Hundred Twenty Dollars and No Cents (\$18,365,220.00). The Service Rates in Section E.3. shall constitute the entire compensation due the Contractor for the Service and all of the Contractor's obligations hereunder regardless of the difficulty, materials or equipment required. The Service Rates include, but are not limited to, all applicable taxes, fees, overheads, and all other direct and indirect costs incurred or to be incurred by the Contractor. The Contractor is not entitled to be paid the maximum liability for any period under the Contract or any extensions of the Contract for work not requested by the State. The maximum liability represents available funds for payment to the Contractor and does not guarantee payment of any such funds to the Contractor under this Contract unless the State requests work and the Contractor performs said work. In which case, the Contractor shall be paid in accordance with the Service Rates detailed in Section E.3. The State is under no obligation to request work from the contractor in any specific dollar amounts or to request any work at all from the Contractor during any period of this Contract.
- 2. Add the following to Section E.3. Payment Methodology

Year Three Cost Schedule from July 1, 2005 through June 30, 20	006
Cost per ADM for end-user support for Star Student and Easy	\$2,25
IEP	
Cost non ADM for and year asymptot for Eggs/IPD only	\$1.13
Cost per ADM for end-user support for EasyIEP only	φ1.13

Year Four Cost Schedule from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007

Cost per ADM for end-user support for Star Student and Easy IEP	\$2.25
Cost per ADM for end-user support for EasyIEP only	\$1.13

Year Five Cost Schedule from July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008

Cost per ADM for end-user support for Star Student and Easy IEP	\$2.25
Cost per ADM for end-user support for EasyIEP only	\$1.13

The other terms and conditions of this CONTRACT not amended hereby shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF:		
PUBLIC CONSULTING GROUP INC:		
•		
Stephen P. Skinner, Partner	Date	
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION:		
Lana C. Seivers, Commissioner	Date	
APPROVED:		
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION:		
M. D. Goetz, Jr., Commissioner	Date	
COMPTROLLER OF THE TREASURY:		
John G. Morgan, Comptroller of the Treasury	Date	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE FISCAL REVIEW COMMITTEE

320 Sixth Avenue, North – 8th Floor NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0057 615-741-2564

Rep. Charles Curtiss, Chairman

Representatives

Harry Brooks Curt Cobb

Mary Pruitt Donna Rowland David Shepard

Dennis Ferguson Frank Niceley

ank Niceley Curry Todd

Craig Fitzhugh, ex officio

Craig Fitznugh, ex officio Speaker Jimmy Naifeh, ex officio

Sen. Don McLeary, Vice-Chairman

Senators

Mae Beavers Jim Bryson David Fowler Steve Southerland

Steve Cohen

Douglas Henry, ex officio

Lt. Governor John S. Wilder, ex officio

MEMORANDUM

TO

The Honorable Dave Goetz, Commissioner Department of Finance and Administration

FROM:

Charles Curtiss, Chairman

Don McLeary, Vice-Chairman

DATE:

September 14, 2006

SUBJECT:

Contract Comments

(Contract Services Subcommittee Meeting 9/12/06)

RFS# 331.11-004

Department: Education

Contractor: Public Consulting Group, Inc.

Summary: The vendor is currently responsible for providing the Statewide Student Management Software (SSMS) that is used by the Department and the local school districts of Tennessee. This amendment increases expenditures to cover the cost the vendor has incurred for hosting the EasyIEP program and data on their servers since May 1, 2005, and decreases the maximum liability of the contract by \$543,090 due to fewer students than originally projected. The term of the contract remains the same, effective through June 30, 2008.

Maximum liability: \$16,908,310

Maximum liability with amendment: \$16,365,220

After review, the Fiscal Review Committee voted to recommend approval of the contract amendment.

cc:

The Honorable Lana C. Seivers, Commissioner

Mr. Robert Barlow, Director, Office of Contracts Review